UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ex rel. MARK P. DONALDSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case Number 03-10141-BC Honorable David M. Lawson

CONSERVATION RESOURCE ALLIANCE, CORNERSTONE ALLIANCE, HURON COUNTY MSU EXTENSION, ALPENA COMMUNITY COLLEGE, MICHIGAN APPLE PACKERS COOPERATIVE, MICHIGAN FARM BUREAU, MICHIGAN FARMERS UNION FOUNDATION, NORTHERN INITIATIVES CORP.,

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY EXTENSION TARGET ALPENA 2000, NORTHERN INNOVATIVE COMMUNITIES, SPARTA TOWNSHIP,

RESEARCH, EDUCATION & DEVELOPMENT FOR COOPERATIVES, DONALD L. HARE, THUMB OILSEED PRODUCERS COOPERATIVE, MAE O.

LOCKE, and MONTMORENCY COUNTY MSU EXTENSION,

,

Defendants.

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Before the Court is the plaintiff's motion seeking reconsideration of the Court's order adopting the magistrate judge's report and recommendation and dismissing the case with prejudice. Motions for reconsideration may be granted pursuant to E.D. Mich. LR 7.1(g) when the moving party shows (1) a "palpable defect," (2) that misled the court and the parties, and (3) that correcting the defect will result in a different disposition of the case. E.D. Mich. LR 7.1(g)(3). A "palpable defect" is a defect which is obvious, clear, unmistakable, manifest, or plain. *Mich. Dep't of Treasury v. Michalec*, 181 F. Supp. 2d 731, 734 (E.D. Mich. 2002) (citations omitted).

1:03-cv-10141-DML-CEB Doc # 77 Filed 03/31/06 Pg 2 of 2 Pg ID 336

The plaintiff here has not demonstrated any mistake of fact or law that amounts to a

"palpable defect." Rather, the plaintiff re-asserts the arguments presented the first time around. The

Local Rules provide, however, that any "motions for rehearing or reconsideration which merely

present the same issues ruled upon by the Court, either expressly or by reasonable implication, shall

not be granted." E.D. Mich. LR 7.1(g)(3). The Court will deny the motion for reconsideration.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the plaintiff's motion for reconsideration of the order of

March 14, 2006 [dkt # 76] is **DENIED**.

s/David M. Lawson

DAVID M. LAWSON

United States District Judge

Dated: March 31, 2006

PROOF OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the foregoing order was served upon each attorney or party of record herein by electronic means or first class U.S. mail on March 31, 2006.

s/Tracy A. Jacobs

TRACY A. JACOBS